

IFW

Application No. 10/829,230
Reply to Office Action of Sept. 7, 2005
Attorney Docket No. 26112



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inter patent application of:

KINOSHITA et al.

Confirmation No. 7134

Serial No. 10/829,230

Group Art Unit: 1774

Filed: April 22, 2004

Examiner: B. Shewareged

For: **STENCIL PRINTING MASTER AND PRODUCTION PROCESS THEREFOR**

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith for filing in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is the following:


- (1) Transmittal Letter; and
- (2) Response and Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. 1.111.

If an Extension of Time under 37 CFR §1.136 is required and has not been separately petitioned, please consider this Transmittal Letter as including a petition for such Extension of Time and as a further authorization to charge any fee for such Extension of Time, as may be required by 37 CFR §1.17, to Deposit Account No. 14-0112. Also, please charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, in connection with this matter to Deposit Account No. 14-0112.

Respectfully submitted,
NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC


December 1, 2005

NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC
1030 15th Street, N.W.
6th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Tel: (202) 775-8383
Fax: (202) 775-8396



Gary M. Nath
Registration No. 26,965
Gregory B. Kang
Registration No. 45,273
Derek Richmond
Registration No. 45,771
Customer No. 20529

Application No. 10/829,230
Reply to Office Action of Sept. 7, 2005
Attorney Docket No. 26112



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re patent application of:

KINOSHITA et al.

Confirmation No. 7134

Serial No. 10/829,230

Group Art Unit: 1774

Filed: April 22, 2004

Examiner: B. Shewareged

For: **STENCIL PRINTING MASTER AND PRODUCTION PROCESS THEREFOR**

RESPONSE AND AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a full and complete response to the Office Action having a mailing date of September 7, 2005. The three month shortened statutory period to respond to the Office Action was set to expire December 7, 2005, making this a timely filed response.

Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating allowable subject matter. In view of the following amendments and remarks, the Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the outstanding rejections and allow this application.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in a listing of claims, which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 4 of this paper.